Quantum Desynchronization: Resolution of Loschmidt's Paradox

Darío Ricardo De Santiago Sasinka
Affiliation: https://www.frc.utn.edu.ar
ORCID: 0000-0002-7684-9532
DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36120.05126

January 25, 2026

Abstract

A structural mechanism for the emergence of the arrow of time is proposed, based on the **Principle of Quantum Desynchronization**, illustrated with didactic examples using clocks. We argue that irreversibility does not require the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, but rather emerges in finite systems due to spectral incommensurability. For incommensurable systems —the generic case— exact recurrence is mathematically impossible even with $N = 2$. Additionally, we demonstrate that any minimal error in time inversion causes the probability of exact reversal to decay as $(\varepsilon/T)^{N(N-1)/2}$, exponentially in N^2. This framework resolves Loschmidt's paradox on three levels (microscopic, structural, and phenomenological), giving rise to an updated *Loschmidt's Law*: the reversibility of individual components does not imply that of the complete system. The work explains the periodic behavior of time crystals as exceptionally commensurable systems, and identifies gravity —through relativistic time dilation— as the fundamental mechanism guaranteeing spectral incommensurability in real systems. The theory thus offers a mechanistic (not merely statistical) solution to temporal irreversibility.

Keywords: arrow of time, Loschmidt's paradox, quantum recurrence, spectral incommensurability, time crystals, gravitational desynchronization.

1 Introduction: Fundamental Problem

Imagine a room with a hundred pendulum clocks. Each has a slightly different rhythm and began marking the hour at a different moment. If you enter and ask: "What time is it here?", the answer would be clear: there is no single time. There are a hundred different times, and the set does not define the room's time. This image contains the essence of the problem that has challenged physics for 150 years: **Loschmidt's paradox** [3]. In his own words:

> "If for a mechanical system one assumes a state that at a certain time passes into another, then there must also exist the inverse state of motion, which in the same time leads the second state back to the first."

The core of the paradox is that microscopic equations are time-reversible, so an irreversible evolution (necessary increase of entropy) cannot be deduced from reversible mechanical laws. Although each fundamental law is reversible applied to a particular element —*such as a pendulum*—, the universe seems to advance through a continuum of unique and unrepeatable states. So if the fundamental laws are reversible, why do we never observe a broken cup spontaneously reconstructing? Why does time appear to have a single direction? The traditional answer

appeals to statistics: there are more ways to be disordered than ordered. But this does not satisfy those seeking a physical mechanism to explain it.

2 Fundamental Clocks

We can think of particles wandering through the universe as small quantum clocks[1] which we will call chronodynes[2]. For a quantum stationary state, consider N independent periodic systems. Each chronodyne is described by its wave function:

$$|\psi_i(t)\rangle = e^{-i(\omega_i t+\phi_i)}|\psi_i\rangle \tag{1}$$

where $\omega_i = \frac{E_i}{\hbar}$ is the frequency associated with its energy. The phase $\phi_i(t) = \omega_i t + \phi_i(0)$ acts as the "internal clock" of each chronodyne, defining a quantum tick-tock whose phase evolves according to its own energy, thus establishing a microscopic basis for the emergence of relational time. This perspective of oscillators as time references aligns naturally with the Page-Wootters (PW) mechanism [4]. On the other hand, the Quantum Desynchronization we propose in this article addresses its directional dynamics: the arrow of time arises because, in a universe of many independent chronodynes, maintaining perfect correlation is mathematically impossible.

[1]Note that here we use **clock** in a purely operational sense: any system with phase evolution $e^{-i\omega t}$ defines a relational time reference. The ontological question of whether time precedes clocks or vice versa is addressed in the relational framework of Page-Wootters [4], with which our proposal is compatible.

[2]**Chronodynes** (from Greek *chronos*, time, and *dynamis*, power): any physical entity with periodic evolution $\phi_i(t) = \omega_i t + \phi_i(0)$, whose local temporal direction is indistinguishable. This includes particles, pendulums, harmonic oscillators, fields in stationary modes, or other periodic objects that satisfy the properties described here. The term avoids ontological ambiguities associated with "clock" or "particle" and allows applying the theoretical framework to quantum and classical systems alike. In this article we will refer only to quantum or elementary chronodynes.

2.1 The quantum "tick-tock": Phase and period

The temporal evolution of a stationary state introduces a dynamic phase that rotates with frequency:

$$\omega_n = \frac{\Delta E_n}{\hbar} > 0 \tag{2}$$

where ΔE_n is the energy difference with respect to a reference level.

The period associated with this phase rotation is:

$$T_n = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_n} = \frac{h}{\Delta E_n} \tag{3}$$

3 Definition of Recurrence

To understand this concept, let us imagine again the room with clocks. If we take a photograph showing the position of all the hands, the **recurrence time** ΔT is the interval necessary to take another identical photograph of the system.

3.1 Condition for Exact Recurrence

For the complete system of N chronodynes to recur to an arbitrary initial state, there must exist a time $T > 0$ such that for each chronodyne i:

$$\omega_i T = 2\pi n_i, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{4}$$

This implies that all frequencies must be mutually commensurable:

$$\frac{|\omega_i|}{|\omega_j|} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \forall (i,j) \tag{5}$$

Equivalently, the frequencies must be integer multiples of a fundamental frequency ω_0, representing a discrete and harmonic spectral distribution.

3.2 ε-close Recurrence Time

In practice, we do not require exact recurrence ($\varepsilon = 0$), but ε-close[3]:

$$|\phi_i(T) - \phi_i(0)| \leq \varepsilon \tag{6}$$

[3]"In real physical systems, $\varepsilon > 0$ represents the experimental tolerance or finite measurement resolution."

The characteristic time for the phases to evolve significantly is:

$$\tau \sim \frac{2\pi}{|\Delta\omega|} \tag{7}$$

where $|\Delta\omega|$ is the typical spectral width.

The expected time for an ε-close recurrence is given by the generalization of the Poincaré recurrence time [5][4]:

$$T_{rec} \sim \tau \times \frac{(2\pi)^{(N-1)}}{\varepsilon} \tag{8}$$

$$|\phi_i(T) - \phi_i(0)| \leq \varepsilon \tag{9}$$

The instantaneous probability that N oscillators are simultaneously synchronized within an interval ε is:

$$P_{inst} \sim \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right)^N \tag{10}$$

4 Case 1: Commensurable Systems

Commensurable systems are those that satisfy condition (4). These typically correspond to systems with special structural order (such as time crystals or symmetrically coupled harmonic oscillators), where it is possible to calculate a finite recurrence period by applying expression (8).

Note: The following calculation is an *illustrative theoretical example* showing how, even under favorable conditions (commensurable systems), recurrence becomes physically unattainable with a finite number of particles. It is not intended to model a specific physical system, but rather to demonstrate the general principle.

4.1 Calculation of the critical number

For the critical number of chronodynes N_c: Let us consider realistic physical parameters at room temperature: $\Delta\omega$ and ε

$$|\Delta\omega| \sim \frac{k_B T}{h} \sim 10^{14}\,\text{rad/s}, \tag{11}$$

$$\varepsilon \sim 1\% \quad (\varepsilon \approx 0.0628\,\text{rad})$$

The characteristic time for phase evolution is:

$$\tau \sim \frac{2\pi}{|\Delta\omega|} \approx 2\pi \times 10^{-14}\,\text{s} \tag{12}$$

4.2 Calculation for N = 17

Substituting into (8):

$$T_{rec} = 2\pi \times 10^{-14} \times \frac{(2\pi)^{(17-1)}}{2\pi \times 10^{-2}\,\text{rad}} = 6.28 \times 10^{18}\,\text{s} \tag{13}$$

4.3 Key result

This time is equivalent to approximately **200 billion years**, a value that far exceeds the age of the universe ($\sim 1.38 \times 10^{10}$ years).

We thus demonstrate that, with a finite and small number of chronodynes ($N = 17$), thermodynamic irreversibility becomes absolute for any relevant physical time scale. This constitutes a practical solution to Loschmidt's paradox **without resorting to the thermodynamic limit** $N \to \infty$, and is consistent with our interpretation of the case as an illustrative theoretical exercise of the general principle.

5 Case 2: Incommensurable Systems

Incommensurable systems are the physical norm, not the exception. By violating the commensurability condition (4), they make exact recurrence mathematically impossible (probability zero), so $T_{rec} \to \infty$. These systems, therefore, do not recur.

5.1 Zero Measure of Commensurability

When the frequencies ω_i of the chronodynes have a continuous and independent spectral

[4] "The Poincaré recurrence time refers to the recurrence theorem for conservative dynamical systems [6], here adapted to the quantum case of independent chronodynes."

distribution (as occurs in generic physical systems, for example, gases at finite temperature), the following holds:

5.1.1 Theorem 1: Incommensurability

Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_N\}$ be the set of frequencies of $N \geq 2$ chronodynes. If the ω_i are continuous and independent random variables, then the probability that it is commensurable is:

$$P(\Omega_c) = 0. \tag{14}$$

That is: the mere existence of an incommensurable pair destroys the total commensurability of the system.

5.1.2 Proof

For continuous and independent random variables, the probability that the ratio $|\omega_i|/|\omega_j| \in \mathbb{Q}$ defines a set with Lebesgue measure zero in $\mathbb{R}$ for each pair (i, j) [9]. Since the commensurability of the complete system requires that all these ratios be rational simultaneously, its probability is the product of null probabilities:

$$P(\Omega_c) = \prod_{i<j} P\left(\frac{|\omega_i|}{|\omega_j|} \in \mathbb{Q}\right) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Immediate physical consequence: This implies that, with probability 1, there will exist at least one pair of incommensurable frequencies ($|\omega_i|/|\omega_j| \notin \mathbb{Q}$). For such a pair, it is impossible to find a finite time T that simultaneously satisfies $\omega_i T = 2\pi n_i$ and $\omega_j T = 2\pi n_j$ with $n_i, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, **a single incommensurable pair makes exact recurrence of the complete macro-system mathematically impossible.**

5.1.3 Physical Consequences

It is not necessary that the entire spectrum be incommensurable — a single pair of frequencies suffices. Irreversibility is structurally fragile: adding a single chronodyne with an incommensurable frequency breaks the possibility of exact recurrence for the entire set, even if the other $N - 1$ chronodynes are perfectly commensurable among themselves.

This explains why time crystals are such special systems and difficult to stabilize experimentally: they require perfect commensurability of all frequencies. Any impurity, defect, or nonlinear coupling introduces incommensurability and destroys perfect temporal periodicity. This fact connects directly with perturbation theory: in generic quantum systems, any realistic perturbation (thermal, structural, or environmental) introduces spectral deviations that generate incommensurability, making exact recurrence mathematically improbable in the measure-theoretic sense.

For incommensurable systems —the generic case in nature— no finite time T exists that satisfies (4). Exact recurrence is mathematically impossible, even for only two chronodynes ($N = 2$). This eliminates the paradox much more conclusively than Case 1, because it does not depend on cosmological times or ε-close approximations: irreversibility becomes a topological property of phase space, not a practical limitation.

6 Time Crystals

Time crystals, proposed by Wilczek [10] and experimentally observed [11], constitute systems where the frequencies satisfy:

$$\omega_i = n_i \omega_0, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{16}$$

These systems are commensurable by design, and therefore exhibit temporal periodicity. In our framework, this explains why in time crystals the arrow of time "disappears" locally: by forcing commensurability, the desynchronization mechanism is eliminated. A phenomenon also analyzed from the perspective of many-body localized systems [2].

7 Gravity as the Engine of Desynchronization

Imagine again the room with clocks: now all the clocks are identical and perfectly synchronized, striking twelve simultaneously. Thus we have a commensurable system with exact

periodic recurrence. If we then subject the room to an intense gravitational gradient, the rate of each clock will be affected according to its distance from the floor. What happens? The initially commensurable system transforms into an incommensurable one: gravity has broken the commensurability of the system.

7.1 Theorem 2: Gravity

In a non-homogeneous gravitational field, relativistic time dilation[5] transforms a set of identical particles into a system of oscillators with incommensurable frequencies, mathematically guaranteeing irreversibility.

7.2 Proof

For a particle of mass m in a gravitational potential $\Phi(\vec{x})$ (with $|\Phi|/c^2 \ll 1$), the proper frequency measured at infinity[6] is:

$$\omega(\vec{x}) = \omega_0 \left[1 - \frac{\Phi(\vec{x})}{c^2}\right] + O\left(\frac{\Phi^2}{c^4}\right), \qquad (17)$$

where $\omega_0 = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}$.

Consider two identical particles at distinct positions $\vec{x}_1$ and $\vec{x}_2$. The ratio of their frequencies is:

$$\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = \frac{1 - \Phi(\vec{x}_1)/c^2}{1 - \Phi(\vec{x}_2)/c^2}. \qquad (18)$$

Key point: If $\Phi(\vec{x})$ varies continuously in space (as occurs in any non-trivial real gravitational field), then the ratio ω_1/ω_2 takes values in a continuum. Given that the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ has measure zero in $\mathbb{R}$, the probability that $\omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is exactly zero for generic positions.

[5]The effect is rigorously described by the Schwarzschild metric in the weak field limit, where the proper time interval $d\tau = \sqrt{1 - 2\Phi/c^2}\, dt$ implies that frequencies measured by a distant observer are multiplied by the factor $\sqrt{1 - 2\Phi/c^2} \approx 1 - \Phi/c^2 + O(\Phi^2/c^4)$. This dilation was predicted by Schwarzschild [7] and experimentally confirmed through the Shapiro effect [8].

[6]The "tick-tock" of a clock at $\vec{x}$ is slowed by the factor $(1 - \Phi(\vec{x})/c^2)$ relative to a clock at infinity. This is the operational manifestation of gravitational time dilation.

7.3 Immediate Consequence

Since the condition for exact recurrence ($\omega_i T = 2\pi n_i$ for all i) requires that all ratios ω_i/ω_j be rational, the presence of a gravitational gradient guarantees that real physical systems are mathematically irreversible. The thermodynamic limit is not required: with $N \geq 2$ particles in a non-constant gravitational field, exact recurrence is impossible, even in ideal time crystals.

7.4 Quantitative Example

For two electrons separated vertically by $\Delta h = 1$ m in the Earth's field ($g \approx 9.8\ \mathrm{m/s^2}$):

$$\Delta\omega \approx \frac{m_e g \Delta h}{\hbar} \approx 8.5 \times 10^4\, \mathrm{rad/s}. \qquad (19)$$

The phases become completely desynchronized ($\Delta\phi = \pi$) in a time:

$$t \approx \frac{\pi}{\Delta\omega} \approx 74\, \mu\mathrm{s}. \qquad (20)$$

Gravity, therefore, is not merely a force between massive bodies: it is the fundamental mechanism that converts the theoretical reversibility of microscopic laws into the empirical irreversibility of the macroscopic world, acting as the cosmic engine of the arrow of time.

8 Structural Instability of the Inverse Trajectory

Loschmidt's paradox assumes that, given microscopic reversibility, it is possible —at least in principle— to exactly invert all trajectories and thus traverse the inverse path already traveled. Loschmidt implicitly assumes that this inversion can be executed with perfect precision. We will show here that any minimal error in the inversion conditions amplifies with time, making the exact inverse trajectory physically unrealizable. The argument is purely mechanistic and follows the same logic as the rest of the work: synchronization is measured by pairs of chronodynes.

8.1 Error in Inversion Frequencies

Consider a system of N independent chronodynes with frequencies ω_i (incommensurable with each other, as is the generic case). The system evolves from $t = 0$ to $t = T$, at which moment we attempt to invert its evolution so that it traverses the inverse path.

We parameterize the inverse evolution by $\tau \in [0, T]$, where $\tau = 0$ corresponds to the instant $t = T$ (start of inversion) and $\tau = T$ corresponds to $t = 0$ (return to the initial state). Thus, $\phi_i^{\text{inv}}(T - \tau)$ denotes the phase of chronodyne i after a time τ of inverse evolution.

Suppose, for the sake of argument, that we know the phases at instant T with arbitrary precision. Even under this idealized assumption —which ignores practical measurement limits— we will show that when applying the inversion we make a small error in each frequency:

$$\omega_i^{\text{inv}} = -\omega_i + \varepsilon_i, \qquad |\varepsilon_i| \ll |\omega_i| \tag{21}$$

where ε_i is an arbitrarily small but non-zero deviation. In generic systems, these errors can be considered statistically independent.

8.2 Error Propagation in Individual Phases

The phase of chronodyne i during inverse evolution is given by:

$$\phi_i^{\text{inv}}(T - \tau) = \phi_i(T) + (-\omega_i + \varepsilon_i)\tau \tag{22}$$

Substituting $\phi_i(T) = \omega_i T + \phi_i(0)$, we obtain:

$$\phi_i^{\text{inv}}(T - \tau) = \phi_i(0) + \omega_i(T - \tau) + \varepsilon_i \tau \tag{23}$$

At the final instant, when $\tau = T$, the phase turns out to be:

$$\phi_i^{\text{inv}}(0) = \phi_i(0) + \varepsilon_i T \tag{24}$$

The term $\varepsilon_i T$ is the accumulated individual deviation, which grows linearly with T.

8.3 The Relevant Condition: Pair Differences

The state of the system is not determined by absolute phases, but by the **phase differences between pairs of chronodynes**, which are the only observable magnitudes in terms of synchronization. For the pair (i, j), the phase difference after inversion is:

$$\Delta\phi_{ij}^{\text{inv}}(0) = [\phi_i(0) - \phi_j(0)] + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)T \tag{25}$$

For the pair to recover its original synchronization, we need the accumulated deviation to be less than the tolerance ε:

$$|\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j| T < \varepsilon \tag{26}$$

8.4 Probability of Exact Reversal

The complete system returns to the initial state if and only if **all $M = N(N-1)/2$ pairs** simultaneously satisfy condition (26). For independent errors ε_i with continuous distribution, the probability that a given pair satisfies the condition scales as:

$$P_{\text{pair}} \sim \frac{\varepsilon}{T \cdot \sigma} \tag{27}$$

where σ is the typical scale of $|\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j|$. For large T, and assuming approximate independence between pairs (valid in the limit of large T), the joint probability scales as:

$$P_{\text{total}} \sim \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right)^M = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{T}\right)^{N(N-1)/2} \tag{28}$$

This scaling is **exponential in N^2**. Even for moderate values of N, the probability of exact reversal becomes astronomically small for any finite T.

8.5 Comparison with Initial Synchronization

Note the analogy with equation (10): the probability of finding N chronodynes spontaneously synchronized is $(\varepsilon/2\pi)^N$, which is

already exponential in N. In the case of reversal, the condition involves pairs, which raises the exponential to N^2, making the effect overwhelmingly stronger.

8.6 Physical Significance

This result is purely geometric: it does not depend on the magnitude of the errors ε_i, but on their existence. No matter how small they are, as long as they are non-zero and independent, the probability of exact reversal decays as $(\varepsilon/T)^{N^2/2}$. In macroscopic systems with $N \sim 10^{23}$, this probability is effectively zero for any time T greater than a few correlation intervals.

8.7 Limit $\varepsilon_i \to 0$

In the ideal limit where all errors tend to zero, the probability tends to 1, recovering the reversible case. But this limit is physically unrealizable: in any real system there will always exist a non-zero lower bound for ε_i, whether due to experimental limitations, environmental effects, or the very discrete nature of matter.

8.8 Complementarity with Theorem 1

This argument complements Theorem 1 decisively:

- Theorem 1 shows that, even with perfect inversion, spontaneous recurrence is mathematically impossible for incommensurable systems.
- The present result demonstrates that, even if the system were commensurable, any minimal error in inversion —inevitable in practice— causes the probability of exact reversal to decay as $(\varepsilon/T)^{N^2/2}$, i.e., exponentially in N^2.

Both effects act in synergy: native incommensurability prevents recurrence; structural instability due to errors prevents exact reversal with a severity that grows quadratically with the number of chronodynes. Loschmidt's paradox is thus dissolved without appealing to statistical considerations: it is the very geometry of correlations between pairs that prohibits, in a purely mechanistic way, traversing the inverse path already traveled.

9 Resolution of Loschmidt's Paradox

Our framework resolves the paradox on three levels[7]:

1. **Microscopic level:** Each individual chronodyne is reversible (equation 1).
2. **Structural level:** Spectral incommensurability[8] makes collective resynchronization mathematically impossible (Case 2, Section 5) or physically unattainable (Case 1, Section 4).
3. **Phenomenological level:** Observed irreversibility[9] is an emergent consequence of the structure of phase space, not a violation of fundamental laws.

The paradox is dissolved by recognizing that microscopic reversibility does not imply macroscopic reversibility when frequencies are incommensurable[10].

[7] This tripartite structure avoids common confusions when discussing the arrow of time: it distinguishes between the properties of the components, those of the complete system, and what we actually observe.

[8] Incommensurability does not require that all frequencies be irrational; it suffices that there exists at least one pair whose ratio is irrational, which occurs with probability 1 in real systems (Theorem 5.1.1).

[9] Includes both thermodynamic irreversibility (entropy increase) and the psychological and cosmological directionality of time.

[10] This constitutes a modern formulation of what might be called the *Updated Loschmidt's Law*: "Microscopic reversibility is necessary but not sufficient for macroscopic reversibility; the latter additionally requires specific spectral conditions (commensurability) that are mathematically improbable in real physical systems."

9.1 Loschmidt's Law (proposed in his honor)

In honor of the acuity of his paradox, which for 150 years challenged physics, we propose the following updated formulation:

> *If a system is composed of reversible elements, it does not imply that the complete system is reversible. Collective reversibility requires specific spectral conditions (commensurability) that are mathematically improbable in real physical systems.*

Loschmidt's original paradox correctly pointed out that irreversibility cannot be deduced from reversible equations. Our framework completes his argument: it shows that the inverse step —from reversible equations to macroscopic reversibility— is also invalid without additional conditions that real systems do not satisfy.

10 The Principle of Quantum Desynchronization

Principle of Quantum Desynchronization

Given a system of $N \geq 2$ independent chronodynes with frequencies ω_i:

$$\text{Irreversibility} \iff \exists\ (i,j)$$

such that

$$\frac{|\omega_i|}{|\omega_j|} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

This principle synthesizes our theoretical framework: irreversibility emerges when there exists at least one pair of chronodynes with incommensurable frequencies, making exact recurrence mathematically impossible (Theorem 5.1.1).

Unlike environmental decoherence [1], this mechanism does not require interaction with an environment: irreversibility arises from the intrinsic topology of spectral phase space, even in isolated systems.

Gravity, by guaranteeing incommensurability through time dilation (Theorem 7.1), thus acts as the primary cosmic engine of the arrow of time.

11 Implications

11.1 The arrow of time precedes thermodynamics

Irreversibility emerges from the spectral structure of finite systems ($N \geq 2$), not from the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$. This inverts the traditional hierarchy: directional time is more fundamental than thermodynamics, and the second law is a consequence of spectral desynchronization.

11.2 Connection with relational theories of time

Our framework aligns naturally with perspectives where time emerges from quantum correlations [4, 6], providing a specific dynamical mechanism for that emergence: the irreversible loss of synchrony among the universe's "fundamental clocks".

11.3 Hierarchical emergence of time

Our framework suggests a hierarchical structure: elementary chronodynes (quantum oscillators) combine to form compound chronodynes (atoms, molecules, macroscopic systems). At each level, a proper temporal scale emerges, but incommensurability between levels guarantees universal irreversibility.

11.4 Impossibility of going backward in time

The practical impossibility of resynchronizing an astronomical number of chronodynes explains why "time travel" is prohibitive: it would require the perfect synchronization of all components of the universe, a mathematically impossible task given Theorem 5.1.1.

12 Predictions

The theoretical framework presented here leads to two fundamental and experimentally testable predictions. Both derive directly from the Principle of Quantum Desynchronization and offer routes to validate or refute this theory.

12.1 Prediction 1: Gravitational destabilization of time crystals

A time crystal subjected to a measurable gravitational gradient should exhibit a progressive loss of its temporal periodicity. This would occur because relativistic time dilation induces a continuous distribution of proper frequencies among particles at different heights, transforming an initially commensurable system into an incommensurable one (Theorem 7.1). The desynchronization rate would scale with the gravitational potential difference between particles.

12.2 Prediction 2: Irreversibility in pairs of incommensurable oscillators

Two independent quantum oscillators whose frequencies have an irrational ratio will never recover their exact initial synchronization, not even approximately for sufficiently small tolerances. In contrast, pairs with commensurable frequencies will exhibit periodic recurrences. This prediction would directly verify that irreversibility can emerge in isolated systems of just two particles when the spectrum is incommensurable (Theorem 5.1.1).

12.3 Invitation to experiment

These predictions are accessible to current quantum platforms (trapped ions, superconducting qubits, spin systems). The experimental community is invited to design tests that distinguish between irreversibility due to spectral desynchronization —proposed here— and other mechanisms such as environmental decoherence. Validation of these predictions would open a new window to understand the fundamental origin of the arrow of time.

13 Conclusions

We have argued that the arrow of time emerges from the spectral structure of finite systems, without need for the thermodynamic limit. The **Principle of Quantum Desynchronization** resolves Loschmidt's paradox on three levels: microscopic (individual reversibility), structural (impossibility of resynchronization due to spectral incommensurability), and phenomenological (observed irreversibility).

The structural instability due to minimal errors in inversion reinforces this result: the probability of exact reversal decays as $(\varepsilon/T)^{N(N-1)/2}$, exponentially in N^2.

The framework explains time crystals as exceptionally commensurable systems and identifies gravity as the mechanism guaranteeing incommensurability in real systems through relativistic time dilation.

In summary, the arrow of time is an emergent property of quantum clocks desynchronized by the geometry of phase space, not by the statistics of chaos.

References

[1] Erich Joos and H. Dieter Zeh. The emergence of classical properties through interaction with the environment. *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter*, 59(2):223–243, 1985.

[2] Vedika Khemani, Roderich Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi. A brief history of time crystals. *arXiv preprint*, 2016.

[3] Johann Loschmidt. Über den zustand des wärmegleichgewichtes eines systems von körpern. *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 73:128–142, 1876.

[4] Don N. Page and William K. Wootters. Evolution without evolution: Dynamics described by stationary observables.

Physical Review D, 27(12):2885–2893, 1983.

[5] Henri Poincaré. Sur le problème des trois corps et les équations de la dynamique. *Acta Mathematica*, 13:1–270, 1890.

[6] Carlo Rovelli. *The Order of Time*. Penguin Books, 2018.

[7] Karl Schwarzschild. Über das gravitationsfeld eines massenpunktes nach der einsteinschen theorie. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, pages 189–196, 1916.

[8] Irwin I. Shapiro. Fourth test of general relativity. *Physical Review Letters*, 13(26):789–791, 1964. Efecto Shapiro, dilatación temporal gravitacional.

[9] Richard L. Wheeden and Antoni Zygmund. *Measure and Integral: An Introduction to Real Analysis*. CRC Press, 2nd edition, 2017.

[10] Frank Wilczek. Quantum time crystals. *Physical Review Letters*, 109:160401, 2012.

[11] J. Zhang, P. W. Hess, A. Kyprianidis, P. Becker, A. Lee, J. Smith, G. Pagano, I.-D. Potirniche, A. C. Potter, A. Vishwanath, N. Y. Yao, and C. Monroe. Observation of a discrete time crystal. *Nature*, 543(7644):217–220, 2017.

AI

The present article received assistance from AI tools for style correction, technical translation, and LaTeX coding, under the supervision and final validation of the author.

License:

Creative Commons Attribution
(CC BY 4.0)
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank family and friends for their unwavering support

This work is dedicated to my Wife Flavia and Son Elías with all my love.

DaDoDs
68456@metalurgica.frc.utn.edu.ar

"Scientes ubi inveniatis"